

AMANDA LISKAMM  
Director, Consumer Protection Branch  
MANU J. SEBASTIAN  
SHEILA BERMAN  
Trial Attorneys  
Consumer Protection Branch  
U.S. Department of Justice  
450 Fifth Street NW, Suite 6400  
Washington, DC 20001  
Telephone: (202) 514-0515  
Facsimile: (202) 514-8742  
E-mail: Manu.J.Sebastian@usdoj.gov

E. MARTIN ESTRADA  
United States Attorney  
MACK E. JENKINS  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Criminal Division  
VALERIE MAKAREWICZ (Cal. Bar No. 229637)  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Major Frauds Section  
1100 United States Courthouse  
312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone: (213) 894-0756  
Facsimile: (213) 894-6269  
E-mail: Valerie.Makarewicz@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
JASON EDWARD THOMAS CARDIFF,  
  
Defendant.

No. 23-CR-00021-JGB

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL  
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING  
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT  
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

CURRENT TRIAL DATE: 9/3/24  
PROPOSED TRIAL DATE: 2/4/25

1 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding  
2 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of  
3 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the  
4 parties in this matter on August 22, 2024. The Court hereby finds  
5 that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into  
6 this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the  
7 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of  
8 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

9 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by  
10 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and  
11 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance  
12 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,  
13 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the  
14 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel  
15 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for  
16 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
17 diligence.

18 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

19 1. The trial in this matter is continued from September 3,  
20 2024, to February 4, 2025. The status conference hearing is continued  
21 to January 13, 2025.

22 2. The time period of September 3, 2024, to February 4, 2025  
23 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial  
24 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),  
25 and (B)(iv).

26 3. Defendant shall appear in Courtroom 1 of the George E.  
27 Brown, Jr. Federal Building and United States Courthouse, 3470  
28

Twelfth Street, Riverside, CA 92501-3801 on February 4, 2025 at 9:00 a.m.

4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

\_\_\_\_\_  
HONORABLE JESUS G. BERNAL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/  
Manu J. Sebastian  
Trial Attorney  
Consumer Protection Branch  
U.S. Department of Justice